Internal Revenue Service

SB/SE, Compliance BIRSC, SS-8 Unit

Department of the Treasury 1040 Waverly Avenue-Stop 631 Holtsville, NY 11742

Release # SS8 2010020003 Release Date: 3-10-10 Index (UIL) No.: 3121.04-01 Third Party Communication: None

October 26, 2009

Form: SS-8

Person to Contact:

Telephone Number:

Fax Number:

Refer Reply to: Case # 67169

Dear Sir or Madam:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to a request for a determination of employment status, for Federal employment tax purposes, concerning the work relationship between , referred to as "the firm" in the rest of this letter, and , referred to as "the worker" in the rest of this letter. It has come to our attention that the services were performed in 2004 and 2005.

It is our usual practice in cases of this type to solicit information from both parties involved. We requested information from the firm concerning this work relationship. Because we received no reply, we are issuing this determination based on the information available to us. Any other conditions that were not known or furnished may change this determination.

DETERMINATION RESULT

We hold the worker to have been an employee of the firm. In the rest of this letter, we will explain the facts, law, and rationale that form the basis for this finding.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK RELATIONSHIP

The firm is in the steam cleaning business. The worker was engaged as a manager. The worker provided his services to the firm from January of 2004 to August of 2005 and received the Form 1099-MISC for these services.

Information submitted by the worker indicated he received verbal instruction and was trained by the firm, which was necessary for him to do all the tasks the job required.

The firm assigned the worker his assignments verbally and if any problems or complaints arose, the worker was required to contact the firm's owner,

The worker was required in submitted written reports to the firm. The worker performed his services personally, working during the firm's working hours, at the firm's premises and/or the firm's customer's location. The worker was required to attend weekly meeting held by the firm. If additional help was required, the firm hired and compensated the helpers.

The firm provided all the necessary materials, supplies, and equipment the worker needed to perform his job duties. The worker supplied his labor. The firm's customers paid the firm for the services provided. The worker was compensated a salary for his services. The worker did not assume any financial risk in the relationship and therefore could not realize a profit or incur a loss as a result of the relationship.

The worker stated the firm made benefits available to him; vacation and sick pay. The worker's services were a necessary part of the firm's daily activities. The worker did not advertise his services, nor did he perform similar services for others. He did not maintain a shop or a business listing of his own. The worker performed his services under the firm's business name, and his work was integral to the firm's operation and not part of any kind of independent endeavor. The worker had a continuous relationship with the firm as opposed to a single transaction. Both parties retained the right to terminate the relationship without incurring liability.

LAW

The question of whether an individual is an independent contractor or an employee is one that is determined through consideration of the facts of a particular case along with the application of law and regulations for worker classification issues, known as "common law."

Common law flows chiefly from court decisions and is a major part of the justice system of the United States. Under the common law, the treatment of a worker as an independent contractor or an employee originates from the legal definitions developed in the law and it depends on the payer's right to direct and control the worker in the performance of his or her duties. Section 3121(d)(2) of the Code provides that the term "employee" means any individual defined as an employee by using the usual common law rules.

Generally, the relationship of employer and employee exists when the person for whom the services are performed has the right to control and direct the individual who performs the services, not only as to what is to be done, but also how it is to be done. It is not necessary that the employer actually direct or control the individual, it is sufficient if he or she has the right to do so.

In determining whether an individual is an employee or an independent contractor under the common law, all evidence of both control and lack of control or independence must be considered. We must examine the relationship of the worker and the business. We consider facts that show a right to direct or control how the worker performs the specific tasks for which he or she is hired, who controls the financial aspects of the worker's activities, and how the parties perceive their relationship. The degree of importance of each factor varies depending on the occupation and the context in which the services are performed.

Section 31.3121(d)-1(a)(3) of the regulations provides that if the relationship of an employer and employee exists, the designation or description of the parties as anything other than that of employer and employee is immaterial. Thus, if an employer-employee relationship exists, any contractual designation of the employee as a partner, coadventurer, agent, or independent contractor must be disregarded.

A worker who is required to comply with another person's instructions about when, where, and how he or she is to work is ordinarily an employee. This control factor is present if the person or persons for whom the services are performed have the right to require compliance with instructions. Some employees may work without receiving instructions because they are highly proficient and conscientious workers or because the duties are so simple or familiar to them. Furthermore, the instructions, that show how to reach the desired results, may have been oral and given only once at the beginning of the relationship. See, for example, Rev. Rul. 68-598, 1968-2 C.B. 464, and Rev. Rul. 66-381, 1966-2 C.B. 449.

Training a worker by requiring an experienced employee to work with the worker, by corresponding with the worker, by requiring the worker to attend meetings, or by using other methods, indicates that the person or persons for whom the services are performed want the services performed in a particular method or manner. This is true even if the training was only given once at the beginning of the work relationship. See Rev. Rul. 70-630, 1970-2 C.B. 229.

Integration of the worker's services into the business operations generally shows that the worker is subject to direction and control. When the success or continuation of a business depends to an appreciable degree upon the performance of certain services, the workers who perform those services must necessarily be subject to a certain amount of control by the owner of the business.

If the services must be rendered personally, presumably the person or persons for whom the services are performed are interested in the methods used to accomplish the work as well as in the results. See Rev. Rul. 55-695, 1955-2 C.B. 410.

A continuing relationship between the worker and the person or persons for whom the services are performed indicates that an employer-employee relationship exists. A continuing relationship may exist where work is performed in frequently recurring although irregular intervals.

The establishment of set hours of work by the person or persons for whom the services are performed is a factor indicating control. If the nature of the occupation makes fixed hours impractical, a requirement that workers be on the job at certain times is an element of control. See Rev. Rul. 73-591, 1973-2 C.B. 337.

If the work is performed on the premises of the person or persons for whom the services are performed, that factor suggests control over the worker, especially if the work could

be done elsewhere. See Rev. Rul. 56-660, 1956-2 C.B. 693. Work done off the premises of the person or persons receiving the services, such as at the office of the worker, indicates some freedom from control. However, this fact by itself does not mean that the worker is not an employee. The importance of this factor depends on the nature of the service involved and the extent to which an employer generally would require that employees perform such services on the employer's premises. Control over the place of work is indicated when the person or persons for whom the services are performed have the right to compel the worker to travel a designated route, to canvass a territory within a certain time, or to work at specific places as required. See Rev. Rul. 56-694, 1956-2 C.B. 694.

Payment by the hour, week, or month generally points to an employer-employee relationship, provided that this method of payment is not just a convenient way of paying a lump sum agreed upon as the cost of a job. In such instances, the firm assumes the hazard that the services of the worker will be proportionate to the regular payments. This action warrants the assumption that, to protect its investment, the firm has the right to direct and control the performance of the workers. Also, workers are assumed to be employees if they are guaranteed a minimum salary or are given a drawing account of a specified amount that need not be repaid when it exceeds earnings. See Rev. Rul. 74-389, 1974-2 C.B. 330.

The fact that the person or persons for whom the services are performed furnish significant tools, materials, and other equipment tends to show the existence of an employer-employee relationship. See Rev. Rul. 71-524, 1971-2 C.B. 346.

ANALYSIS

We have applied the above law to the information submitted. As is the case in almost all worker classification cases, some facts point to an employment relationship while other facts indicate independent contractor status. The determination of the worker's status, then, rests on the weight given to the factors, keeping in mind that no one factor rules. The degree of importance of each factor varies depending on the occupation and the circumstances.

Evidence of control generally falls into three categories: behavioral controls, financial controls, and relationship of the parties, which are collectively referred to as the categories of evidence. In weighing the evidence, careful consideration has been given to the factors outlined below.

Factors that illustrate whether there is a right to control how a worker performs a task include training and instructions. In this case, you retained the right to change the worker's methods and to direct the worker to the extent necessary to protect your financial investment.

Factors that illustrate whether there is a right to direct and control the financial aspects of the worker's activities include significant investment, unreimbursed expenses, the methods of payment, and the opportunity for profit or loss. In this case, the worker did not invest capital or assume business risks, and therefore, did not have the opportunity to realize a profit or incur a loss as a result of the services provided.

Factors that illustrate how the parties perceive their relationship include the intent of the parties as expressed in written contracts; the provision of, or lack of employee benefits; the right of the parties to terminate the relationship; the permanency of the relationship; and whether the services performed are part of the service recipient's regular business activities. In this case, the worker was not engaged in an independent enterprise, but rather the services performed by the worker were a necessary and integral part of your business. Both parties retained the right to terminate the work relationship at any time without incurring a liability.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above analysis, we conclude that the firm had the right to exercise direction and control over the worker to the degree necessary to establish that the worker was a common law employee, and not an independent contractor operating a trade or business.

TAX RAMIFICATIONS

Compensation to an individual classified as an employee is subject to Federal income tax withholding, Federal Insurance Contributions Act tax (FICA), and Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA) tax as provided by sections 3101, 3301, and 3401 of the Internal Revenue Code, and it is possible you are liable for the same.

For the year(s) in question, it is possible that the statute of limitations has expired for the assessment of taxes in this matter. If so, it will not be necessary for you to amend your return(s). Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 6501(a) provides that the statute of limitations for assessment generally expires three years from the due date of the return, or three years after the date the return was actually filed, whichever is later. IRC section 6501(b)(2) provides that for certain employment tax returns, the three years would begin April 15 of the following year for which the return was due. IRC section 6511(a) provides that a claim for credit or refund of an overpayment shall be filed within three years from the date the return was filed, or two years from the date the tax was paid, whichever expires later.

This determination is based on the application of law to the information presented to us and/or discovered by us during the course of our investigation; however, we are not in a position to personally judge the validity of the information submitted. This ruling pertains to all workers performing services under the same or similar circumstances. It is binding on the taxpayer to whom it is addressed; however, Section 6110(k)(3) of the Code provides it may not be used or cited as precedent.

Internal Revenue Code section 7436 concerns reclassifications of worker status that occur during IRS examinations. As this determination is not related to an IRS audit, it does not constitute a notice of determination under the provisions of section 7436, nor is this an audit for purposes of entitling you to section 530 relief (further explained below) if you are not otherwise eligible for such relief.

OPTIONS AND ASSISTANCE

The SS-8 Program does not calculate your balance due and send you a bill. You are responsible for satisfying the employment tax reporting, filing, and payment obligations that result from this determination, such as filing employment tax returns or adjusting previously filed employment tax returns. Your immediate handling of this correction and your prompt payment of the tax may reduce any related interest and penalties.

Section 530 of the 1978 Revenue Act established a safe haven from an employer's liability for employment taxes arising from an employment relationship. This relief may be available to employers who have misclassified workers if they meet certain criteria. This is explained more fully in the enclosed fact sheet. It is important to note that this office does not have the authority to grant section 530 relief in relation to this determination. Section 530 relief is officially considered and possibly granted by an auditor at the commencement of the examination process should IRS select your return for audit. The SS-8 determination process is not related to an examination of your returns. There is also no procedure available to you by which you can request an audit for the purpose of addressing your eligibility for section 530 relief. You should contact a tax professional if you need assistance with this matter.

If you are not eligible for section 530 relief, and the failure to pay the correct amount of employment tax was due to the misclassification of a worker's status, you must use the rates outlined in section 3509 of the Code to calculate your liability.

IRC Section 3509(a) rates

The rates under IRC section 3509(a) total 10.68% of the wages paid up to the Social Security wage base for such year and 3.24% of the wages paid in excess of the Social Security wage base, and consist of the following:

- Income Tax Withholding Your liability for federal income tax withholding is 1.5 percent of the wages you paid to your employee.
- FICA Taxes Your liability for the employee's share of the social security and Medicare taxes is 20 percent of the full rate (20% of 6.20%=1.24% of wages up to the Social Security wage base; 20% of 1.45%=.29% of the total wages, including wages in excess of the Social Security wage base).
- FICA Taxes Your liability for the **employer's** share of the social security and Medicare taxes is 100 percent of the full rate (6.20% of wages up to the Social Security wage base; 1.45% of the total wages, including wages in excess of the Social Security wage base).

IRC Section 3509(b) rates

If you did not file required information returns (e.g., Form 1099-MISC) consistent with treating the worker as not being an employee, you must use the rates under IRC section 3509(b). They total 13.71% of the wages paid up to the Social Security wage base for such year and 5.03% of the wages paid in excess of the

Social Security wage base, and consist of the following:

- Income Tax Withholding Your liability for federal income tax withholding is 3 percent of the wages you paid to your employee.
- FICA Taxes Your liability for the employee's share of the social security and Medicare taxes is 40 percent of the full rate (40% of 6.20%=2.48% of wages up to the Social Security wage base; 40% of 1.45%=.58% of the total wages, including wages in excess of the Social Security wage base).
- FICA Taxes Your liability for the employer's share of the social security and Medicare taxes is 100 percent of the full rate (6.20% of wages up to the Social Security wage base; 1.45% of the total wages, including wages in excess of the Social Security wage base).

Section 3509(c) provides that these rates do not apply in cases of intentional disregard of the requirement to deduct and withhold the tax, nor do section 3509 rates apply to taxes due on wages paid in any period within the current calendar year.

If you deem that the firm meets the criteria for section 530 relief as outlined in the enclosure, you do not have to file/adjust your employment tax returns to reflect this determination. Also, you may choose to reclassify this class of worker to employee status in accordance with this determination for future periods without jeopardizing your ability to claim section 530 relief for past periods.

If you need further assistance in filing/adjusting your employment tax returns due to the reclassification of your worker, please call the IRS help line at 1-800-829-4933. Call 1-866-455-7438 for assistance in preparing or correcting Forms W-2, W-3, 1099, 1096, or other information returns. If you have any questions concerning this determination, please feel free to contact the person whose name and number are listed at the top of this letter. Please refer to your case number (67169) when contacting us about this case.

Sincerely,

Operations Manager

Enclosures: Section 530 Fact Sheet

Notice of IRS Compliance Expectations

Notice 441

Sanitized Determination Letter for Public Disclosure

* To order forms and publications, please call 1-800-TAX-FORM or visit us online at www.irs.gov/formspubs.

Letter 3711-A (CG) (Rev. 10-2009)Catalog Number 36630Q